

Blended and Synchronous Learning Design™ Online

Blended and Synchronous Learning Design Online acknowledges the growth of online teaching and learning and the need for educators to explore ways to incorporate best practices to meet the needs of all learners. This course focuses on two different formats for online learning environments: blended and synchronous. Participants will progress from defining these environments to the development process required, concluding with considerations for implementation of each.

To the right are the key areas of focus for the eight-week online asynchronous course. For more information, refer to the syllabus, which provides a detailed outline of the course material as well as a bibliography of research on which the course is based.

In this course, participants will

- ▶ Distinguish between blended learning and synchronous learning.
- ▶ Analyze when and how blended learning and synchronous learning can be implemented in the classroom.
- ▶ Plan a blended learning course and a synchronous learning course.
- ▶ Convert an existing traditional course to a blended or synchronous learning environment.
- ▶ Assess student learning through blended and synchronous learning activities.
- ▶ Evaluate blended and synchronous learning courses.
- ▶ Facilitate a blended or synchronous learning activity.
- ▶ Implement guidelines, tools, and assessments that support blended and synchronous learning groups.

Blended and Synchronous Learning Design™ Online

Course Description

Given the growth of online teaching and learning, educators explore ways to incorporate best practices to meet the needs of all learners. This online course focuses on designing courses and activities for blended (part online and part face-to-face) and synchronous online learning environments. Participants will progress from defining these environments to designing course outlines and learning activities, and will conclude with considerations for implementation, assessment, and evaluation of each.

Course Outcomes

Upon completion of this class, the learner is expected to be able to:

1. Compare and contrast the various types of blended learning.
2. Decide which blended-learning solution is best for any given learning situation.
3. Distinguish blended learning from synchronous learning and determine how each is implemented in the classroom.
4. Plan a blended-learning course.
5. Plan a synchronous-learning course.
6. Implement the steps necessary to create a blended- and a synchronous-learning environment.
7. Convert an existing traditional course to a blended- or synchronous-learning environment.
8. Assess student learning in blended- and synchronous-learning activities.
9. Create rubrics as one method of assessing student learning in blended and synchronous learning.
10. Evaluate blended- and synchronous-learning courses.
11. Facilitate a blended- or synchronous-learning activity.
12. Demonstrate how to support blended- and synchronous-learning groups using guidelines, tools, and assessments.

Required Text

Brandon, B. (Ed.). (2007). *The eLearning Guild's handbook on synchronous e-learning*. Santa Rosa, CA: The eLearning Guild. (free e-book)

Littlejohn, A., & Pegler, C. (2007). *Preparing for blended e-learning*. New York: Routledge.

Instructors and learners will also use instructor-generated materials, learner-generated materials, and Web-based resources to facilitate learning.

Topical Outline

List of Concepts

Introduction to Blended and Synchronous Courses

Defining blended learning; blended-learning approaches; defining synchronous learning; synchronous-learning approaches; when to use different approaches

Designing Blended Courses

The blended-course schedule; blended-course policies; blended-course expectations; converting existing courses

Designing Blended-Learning Activities	Types of activities; planning an activity; selecting the right tool(s)
Designing Synchronous Online Courses	The synchronous-course schedule; synchronous-course policies; synchronous-course expectations; converting existing courses
Designing Synchronous Activities	Types of activities; planning an activity; selecting the right tool(s); anticipating facilitation needs
Assessing Blended and Synchronous Learning	Assessing blended learning; rubrics for blended learning; assessing synchronous learning; rubrics for synchronous learning
Evaluating Blended and Synchronous Learning	Reasons for evaluation; evaluation questions; ways of evaluating; developing an evaluation plan
Supporting Online Collaborative Groups	Guidelines for online groups; tools to support online group work; assessing online groups

Course Assessments and Links to Course Outcomes

Throughout the course, the learner will be assessed and evaluated on the completion of the following assessments. Learning activities include large- and small-group discussions and assessments for a total of 946 points.

Modules	Topics of Modules	Points	Correlation With Course Outcomes
Module 1:	Introduction to Blended and Synchronous Courses	93	1, 2, 3
Module 2:	Designing Blended Courses	97	4, 6, 7
Module 3:	Designing Blended-Learning Activities	116	4, 7
Module 4:	Designing Synchronous Online Courses	115	5, 6, 7
Module 5:	Designing Synchronous Activities	116	5, 6, 11
Module 6:	Assessing Blended and Synchronous Learning	98	8, 9
Module 7:	Evaluating Blended and Synchronous Learning	112	10
Module 8:	Supporting Online Collaborative Groups	199	4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12
	Total	946	

Criteria specific to each assessment will be explained in conjunction with the instructional activities.

Instructional Methodology

The instructional methodology of this course focuses on developing, enhancing, and improving the instructional expertise and pedagogical knowledge base of practicing educators. Strategies include presentation of new content through online readings, active construction of knowledge through practice and problem solving, collaborative group work, personal reflection, structured small-group or whole-class discussion, analysis of assigned reading, and the application of course content and skills to participant's individual grade level, subject area(s), and classroom.

Grading Scale

The course facilitator will post the grading scale.

Performance Learning Systems' Late Policy

There will be a 10% deduction of points per day for all posts and submitted assignments which are late. Replies posted after the due date will earn no points. In rare cases, partially or poorly completed assignments may be resubmitted for partial credit at the discretion of the instructor. The following exceptions apply:

- If a participant is sick/hospitalized or has a death in the family, the timing of makeup work may be arranged with the course facilitator. No points will be deducted if the work is completed according to the agreement.
- If a participant is on vacation/traveling/etc., the participant must contact the course facilitator ahead of time to avoid a penalty. This type of absence may occur only once during a course. All posts should be submitted for the missed workshop before leaving.
- If a participant has difficulty completing everything in a week, an extension can be granted if the participant contacts the facilitator during the week (not at the last minute).

Performance Learning Systems' Participant Drop Policy

- Participants are eligible to receive a refund if they attend class for one week or less. This means participants must withdraw by the end of Module 1 to receive a refund.
- Refunds of the balance of tuition paid will be given, minus the \$50 deposit.

Performance Learning Systems' Academic Integrity Policy

Performance Learning Systems expects absolute academic honesty and integrity from every course participant. The specific Academic Integrity and Honor Code policies of our partner colleges and universities are embraced and enforced by PLS instructors. The following are considered to be serious violations:

- Plagiarism: the use of another's ideas, data, or words without proper acknowledgment.
- Fabrication: the use of invented information or the falsification of research or other findings with the intent to deceive.
- Collusion: improper collaboration with another in preparing assignments or projects.
- Cheating: an act of deception by which a student misrepresents that he or she has mastered information on an academic exercise that he or she has not mastered.

- Academic Misconduct: tampering with grades, or taking part in obtaining or distributing any part of student work that is not his or her own.

Violation(s) or suspected violation(s) will be investigated and pursued according to specific college/university procedures.

Identity Authentication

The college/university, Performance Learning Systems (PLS), and students share a joint responsibility to ensure that each student's contribution in an online course activity comes from that student alone. For the student, this responsibility has two parts:

1. Students are responsible for positively ensuring that every contribution to an online course created with the students' computer account is made by the student alone. Contributions covered under this policy include: written assignments; quiz and exam submissions; discussion forum postings; live participation in text-based chat sessions, phone conferences, and videoconferences. If a student allows another person to write or make any kind of submission to an online activity in the student's name, then this constitutes cheating and will be treated as a violation of academic honesty.
2. Students are responsible for ensuring the integrity of their computer account security by following the actions required of them by the PLS Acceptable Use Policy. These actions include keeping passcodes private, updating passcodes when required by Performance Learning Systems, and reporting breaches of the security policy to the IT Helpdesk.

Course Evaluation

The evaluation of learner work will be based on the defined criteria for learner assessments. The criteria for learner assessments will be outlined for students prior to instructional activities and engagement with student learning targets (outcomes). Grading is based solely on the evaluation of student learning targets and defined criteria for learner assessments.

Formative assessment of learning outcomes is conducted throughout the course, using a variety of means that include the following: completion of assessments; constructive contributions to class discussions (whole-class as well as small-group); sharing of valuable, pertinent, and/or applicable ideas and experiences; and active participation in online interactions. It is expected that each participant will contribute to the academic quality of the course.

Summative assessment includes the completion of weekly learning activities and assignments for which the participant will need to synthesize class content, apply it to his or her own practice, and complete a plan for implementing the major components of content and skill acquired during the course.

Course Outcome Correlations With INTASC Standards for Teachers

	Course Outcomes
Standard 1: Subject Matter The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and can create learning experiences that make these aspects of subject matter meaningful for students.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Standard 2: Student Learning The teacher understands how children and youth learn and develop, and can provide learning opportunities that support their intellectual, social and personal development.	1, 2, 3, 12
Standard 3: Diverse Learners The teacher understands how students differ in their approaches to learning and creates instructional opportunities that are adapted to diverse learners.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12
Standard 4: Instructional Strategies The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage students' development of critical thinking, problem solving, and performance skills.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Standard 5: Learning Environment The teacher uses an understanding of individual and group motivation and behavior to create a learning environment that encourages positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation.	1, 2, 3, 8, 10, 11, 12
Standard 6: Communication The teacher uses knowledge of effective verbal, nonverbal, and media communication techniques to foster active inquiry, collaboration, and supportive interaction in the classroom.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Standard 7: Planning Instruction The teacher plans and manages instruction based upon knowledge of subject matter, students, the community, and curriculum goals.	2, 4, 5, 6, 7
Standard 8: Assessment The teacher understands and uses formal and informal assessment strategies to evaluate and ensure the continuous intellectual, social and physical development of the learner.	8, 9, 10, 12
Standard 9: Reflection and Professional Development The teacher is a reflective practitioner who continually evaluates the effects of her/his choices and actions on others (students, parents, and other professionals in the learning community) and who actively seeks out opportunities to grow professionally.	2, 10, 11, 12
Standard 10: Collaboration, Ethics, and Relationships The teacher fosters relationships with school colleagues, parents, and agencies in the larger community to support students' learning and well-being.	1, 2, 3, 12

The Interstate New Teacher Assessment and the Support for Consortium (INTASC) standards were developed by the Council of the Chief State School Officers and member states. Copies may be downloaded from the Council's website at <http://www.ccsso.org>.

© Council of Chief State School Officers. (1992) Model standards for beginning teacher licensing, assessment, and development: A resource for state dialogue. Washington, DC: Author. <http://www.ccsso.org/content/pdfs/corestrd.pdf>.

Course Outcome Correlations With National Board for Professional Teaching (NBPTS) Propositions and Standards

Proposition 1: Teachers are Committed to Students and Their Learning.	Course Outcomes
NBCTs are dedicated to making knowledge accessible to all students. They believe all students can learn.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
They treat students equitably. They recognize the individual differences that distinguish their students from one another and they take account for these differences in their practice.	2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 12
NBCTs understand how students develop and learn.	2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12
They respect the cultural and family differences students bring to their classroom.	2, 8, 10, 12
They are concerned with their students' self-concept, their motivation and the effects of learning on peer relationships.	2, 8, 10, 12
NBCTs are also concerned with the development of character and civic responsibility.	2, 8, 10, 12
Proposition 2: Teachers Know the Subjects They Teach and How to Teach Those Subjects to Students.	
NBCTs have mastery over the subject(s) they teach. They have a deep understanding of the history, structure and real-world applications of the subject.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
They have skill and experience in teaching it, and they are very familiar with the skills gaps and preconceptions students may bring to the subject.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
They are able to use diverse instructional strategies to teach for understanding.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Proposition 3: Teachers are Responsible for Managing and Monitoring Student Learning.	
NBCTs deliver effective instruction. They move fluently through a range of instructional techniques, keeping students motivated, engaged and focused.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
They know how to engage students to ensure a disciplined learning environment, and how to organize instruction to meet instructional goals.	12
NBCTs know how to assess the progress of individual students as well as the class as a whole.	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
They use multiple methods for measuring student growth and understanding, and they can clearly explain student performance to parents.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
Proposition 4: Teachers Think Systematically about Their Practice and Learn from Experience.	
NBCTs model what it means to be an educated person – they read, they question, they create and they are willing to try new things.	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
They are familiar with learning theories and instructional strategies and stay abreast of current issues in American education.	1, 2, 3, 10, 11
They critically examine their practice on a regular basis to deepen knowledge, expand their repertoire of skills, and incorporate new findings into their practice.	1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10

Proposition 5: Teachers are Members of Learning Communities.

NBCTs collaborate with others to improve student learning.	12
They are leaders and actively know how to seek and build partnerships with community groups and businesses.	11, 12
They work with other professionals on instructional policy, curriculum development and staff development.	11, 12
They can evaluate school progress and the allocation of resources in order to meet state and local education objectives.	11, 12
They know how to work collaboratively with parents to engage them productively in the work of the school.	2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

Reprinted with permission from the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, www.nbpts.org. All rights reserved.

Bibliography

- Ausburn, L. J. (2004). Course design elements most valued by adult learners in blended online education environments: An American perspective. *Educational Media International*, 41(4), 327–337.
- Bates, A. W. (2005). *Technology, e-learning, and distance education*. New York: Routledge.
- Bersin & Associates. (2003). *Blended learning: What works? An industry study of the strategy, implementation, and impact of blended learning*. Oakland, CA: Bersin & Associates.
- Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (2005). *Handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. San Francisco: Pfeiffer.
- Bonk, C. J., Olson, T., Wisher, R. A., & Orvis, K. L. (2002). Learning from focus groups: An examination of blended learning. *Journal of Distance Education*, 17(3), 97–118.
- Bourne, J., & Moore, J. C. (Eds.). (2005). *Elements of quality online education: Engaging communities*. Needham, MA: Sloan Center for Online Education.
- Cameron, B. (2003). The effectiveness of simulation in a hybrid and online networking course. *TechTrends*, 47(5), 18–21.
- Christensen, T. K. (2003). Finding the balance: Constructivist pedagogy in a blended course. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 4(3), 235–243.
- Collis, B., Bruijstens, H., & van der Veen, J. K. (2003). Course redesign for blended learning: Modern optics for technical professionals. *International Journal of Continuing Engineering Education and Lifelong Learning*, 13(1/2), 22–38.
- Cox, G., Carr, T., & Hall, M. (2004). Evaluating the use of synchronous communication in two blended courses. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 20, 183–193.
- Duffy, T. M., & Kirkley, J. (2004). *Learner-centered theory and practice in distance education: Cases for higher education*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Garrison, D. R., & Kanuta, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95–105.
- Hiltz, S. R., & Goldman, R. (2005). *Learning together online: Research on asynchronous learning networks*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Lohr, L. L., & Ku, H. (2003). Development of a Web-based template for active learning. *The Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 4(3), 213–226.
- Lynch, R., & Dembo, M. (2004). The relationship between self-regulation and online learning in a blended learning context. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 5. Retrieved September 27, 2007, from <http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/189/271>.
- Metcalf, D. S., & Bielawski, L. (2005). *Blended e-learning: Integrating knowledge, performance, support, and online learning*. Amherst, MA: HRD.

- Meyer, K. (2003). Face-to-face versus threaded discussions: The role and time of higher-order thinking. *Journal of Asynchronous Networks*, 7(3), 55–65.
- Moore, M. G., & Anderson, W. (2003). *Handbook of distance learning*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Ogusthorpe, R., & Graham, R. (2003). Blended learning environments: Definitions and directions. *The Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 4(3), 227–233.
- O’Toole, J. M., & Absalom, D. J. (2003). The impact of blended learning on student outcomes: Is there room on the horse for two? *Journal of Educational Media*, 28(2–3), 179–190.
- Paloff, R. M., & Pratt, K. (1999). *Building learning communities in cyberspace: Effective strategies for the online classroom*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Parkinson, D., Greene, W., Kim, Y., & Marioni, J. (2003). Emerging themes of student satisfaction in a traditional course and a blended distance course. *TechTrends*, 47(4), 22–28.
- Pilkington, R. M., & Walker, S. A. (2003). Facilitating debate in networked learning: Reflecting on online asynchronous discussion in higher education. *Instructional Science*, 31(1–2), 41–63.
- Raymond, D., Kanenishi, K., Matsuura, K., Baudin, V., Gayraud, T., Yano, Y., et al. (2005). A model for content and communication management in synchronous learning. *Educational Technology & Society*, 8(3), 187–205.
- Reasons, S. G., Valadares, K., & Slavkin, M. (2005). Questioning the hybrid model: Student outcomes in different course formats. *Journal of Asynchronous Networks*, 9(1), 83–94.
- Rossett, A., Douglis, F., & Frazee, R. V. (2003). *Strategies for building blended learning, e-learning circuits*. Retrieved September 26, 2007, from www.learningcircuits.org/2003/jul2003/rossett.htm
- Rovai, A., & Jordan, H. (2004). Blended learning and sense of community: A comparative analysis with traditional and fully online graduate courses. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 5. Retrieved September 27, 2007, from <http://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/192/274>.
- Stein, D. (2004). Course structure: Most important factor in student satisfaction. *Distance Education Report*, 8(3), 4.
- Valiathan, P. (2002). *Blended learning models*. Retrieved September 26, 2007, from <http://www.learningcircuits.org/2002/aug2002/valiathan.html>
- Wingard, R. G. (2004). Classroom teaching changes in Web-enhanced courses: A multi-institutional study. *Educause Quarterly*, 1, 26–35.

